Approved For Release 2006/	11/22 : CIA-RDP79M00098A000300020037-7
	4 June 1974
MEMORANDUM FOR	
SUBJECT	: Random Thoughts on Coordination of Collection Disciplines
a few thoughts.  2. It has been sugged discourse there be a mechanism unbility of the various coll. The question has been radata; i.e. from HUMINT community determine where the strength of t	Friday's Staff meeting discussion, discussed the problem and come up with sted in several forums (including se at the USIB Meeting of 30 May) that adder the USIB to coordinate the application systems to a particular target. This is a section of acquiring sized as to the best means of acquiring significant system is best suited for collecting
~Pocific information? If	any particular situation a decision is
3. By the nature of the unique to the specific distribudgets, tasking of more Other than the moribund pieces. Even during its	ne systems mentioned much information is cipline. On the other hand, under tight than one discipline may not be cost effective. CCPC no mechanism exists to sort the active days the CCPC approach tended to narrow in scope even though valuable in

4. One could argue that the KIQ/KEP innovation will provide the answers to this question. On the other hand, the KIQs are also narrow in their application and serve mainly to highlight those program managers who believe their resources can be applied to the satisfaction of the stated question or deficiency. But who determines which program manager should proceed in collecting and reporting

specific cases.

CONFIDENTIAL

EX-2, IMPDET

25X1

25X1

25X1

MORI/CDF

on the deficiency? The NIO structure currently is not geared to such technical determinations. The committees of USIB germane to this problem deal only in their own disciplines. While the committee system provides for inter-Committee and inter-Agency coordination it does not, for many reasons, work a good share of the time.

- 5. Currently, the SIGINT Committee is engaged in developing a SIGINT Requirements Mechanism to interface with NSA as the Program Manager. The proposed concept for this mechanism is in its early stages of development. It considers DCID 1/2 as a general starting point to translate requirements into SIGINT terms. Discussion of this proposal has indicated that the general nature of DCID 1/2 creates difficulties in the translation process. In addition questions have been raised as to the applicability of DCID 1/2 to the HUMINT and Photographic requirements mechanism. Here again the overall question of collection by requirements highlights the need for a coordinated approach to all disciplines.
- There is precedent within the USIB structure for organizing appropriate chairmen of pertinent USIB committees to address cross discipline programs. This precedent could serve as a basis for a Collection Coordination Committee (CCC) to address the applicability of all collection disciplines to an intelligence requirement. Such a committee could be composed of the Chairmen of the Human Sources Committee, SIGINT and COMIREX, and be chaired by the D/DCI/NIO. The function of this committee would be to review and determine which collection discipline is best suited for a particular task. It may be that all three, SIGINT, HUMINT, and Photography will be required. On the other hand it may prove that only one will do the job. This committee should not in of itself develop requirements and/or priorities, but should apply those developed by other committees to cross discipline tasks. That is to say SIGINT, COMIREX and Human Sources Committees should continue to develop requirements and priorities. The Coordinating Committee on the other hand would, for example, determine that a particular subject justifies higher priority for Photo than for S I GINT. This Committee could also support IRAC on cross-program matters.

## CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 2006/11/22 : CIA-RDP79M00098A000300020037-7

7. The complexity	and breadth of the overall problem is so
great that there is a r	eal danger of developing a mechanism too
unwieldy to do the job	. Thus, some consideration should be
given to having a "floa	ting membership" for specific items not
dissimilar to	de facto membership on
USIB for certain agen	la items. Thus Chairmen of other Committees,
IC Division Chiefs and	the NIO's might sit on the CCC for certain
items as appropriate.	<del>-</del>

- 8. In the past one problem with a Committee of Chairman has been that they are all CIA. This potential stumbling block may be avoided by the CCC with the D/DCI/NIO Chairmanship, the SIGINT Chairman's non CIA affiliation and the possibility of an HSC Chairmanship from outside the Agency.
- 9. The CCC concept provides the best available balance between having a Committee with the clout necessary to do this job and the expertise needed to do it right.
- 10. In our review we considered various approaches to this problem including a larger committee with representation from several USIB Committees. We discourage such an approach as unwieldy and unable to focus on the stated problems.

  25X1

  Executive Secretary, USIB/IRAC